



19.



The late Sir John Burdon-Sanderson.

THE account of the life of Sir John Burdon-Sanderson in NATURE of December 7 is so admirable that any addition to it may seem superfluous. Yet, as one who knew Burdon-Sanderson for more than thirty-seven years, and who owed more to him than language can well express, I shall be grateful if you will allow me to say a few words more about him. It seems to me that in one respect men may be likened to mountains. The Matterhorn rises sharply to a single peak, and there can be no doubt as to its summit. Monte Rosa has more than one summit, so nearly on a level that a stranger would be unable to say which is highest, and although each is higher than the Matterhorn, the enormous bulk of the mountain takes away from their apparent height and makes them less imposing. In the same way it is easy to say what the great work has been of any man who has distinguished himself in a limited subject, but when a man's work ranges over a wide sphere it is not so easy. The account of Sir John Burdon-Sanderson's life in last week's NATURE clearly shows the wide extent of his activity and the great number of epoch-making discoveries which he made. If a scientific man were asked which of these is the greatest, he would probably answer according to his own personal bias. One man would name his unique researches on motion in plants; another his discovery of the possibility of attenuating anthrax virus and thus producing immunity from the disease; a third his researches on circulation and respiration; and a fourth his work on muscle and nerve. But all these things, important as they are, each one being sufficient to make a man famous in a special department, were only isolated outgrowths of his great work, and did not constitute it. I believe that I am right in saying that Burdon-Sanderson's life-work may be defined in three short sentences:—(1) He revolutionised physiology and pathology in this country; (2) he found them consisting of booklearning and microscopic observation; (3) he left them experimental sciences.

When he first constructed a kymographion in 1867 by the aid of a tin-plate worker near the Middlesex Hospital, to which he was then attached, there was not, with the exception of a few specimens of Marey's sphygmograph, a single recording physiological instrument in use in the whole of this country. Now they are to be found in every physiological laboratory, and every student knows how to use them. When he began to work at pathology, it consisted chiefly in descriptions of the naked-eye and microscopical appearances of specimens of morbid anatomy. Now the action of disease-germs and of toxins and the reaction of the organism to them, the processes of disease and not its results, engage the chief attention of pathologists, and the knowledge which experiments on these processes have afforded regarding the means of producing immunity and of curing by antitoxic sera has lessened, and is daily lessening, the wholesale destruction of life by

epidemic diseases.

How Burdon-Sanderson accomplished his great work by his researches, by his writings, by his example, and by his personal influence was well described in last week's NATURE, but I may perhaps be permitted to mention my own case as an example of what Burdon-Sanderson did for young men. I came to London knowing only one man, who from age and infirmity was unable to help me; but fortunately for me I had a letter of introduction to Burdon-Sanderson. Instead of merely saying a few civil things and then leaving me alone, as he might well have done, he invited me to his house, advised me as to my career, obtained for me a lectureship in the Middlesex Hospital, to which he was then attached, gave me the free use of his laboratory, afforded me facilities for both experimental and literary work, and, in short, laid for me the foundation of any success I may since have had, so that it is mainly to him that I owe it. How many there are whom he has treated as he did me I do not know, for he did not let his left hand know the good his right hand was doing, but I do know that at least two others, Dr. Ferrier, who has done such splendid work in physiology, and Dr. Klein, who has done the same in pathology, owe, like me, their first establishment in London to Burdon-Sanderson. Such personal help as this in enabling young men to pursue a scientific career must not only be regarded as an evidence of the kindness and benevolence of his character, but must be reckoned along with his researches, his writings, his example, and his personal influence as a means whereby he accomplished his great work of revolutionising physiology and pathology in this country.

LAUDER BRUNTON.









